

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 MIGUEL ANGEL CUEVAS,

11 Petitioner,

12 vs.

13 D.W. NEVEN, *et al.*,

14 Respondents.  
15  
16

Case No. 2:14-cv-02137-JAD-CWH

**ORDER**

17 This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254  
18 by a Nevada state prisoner.

19 Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was submitted with the  
20 petition. When filing a habeas petition, a petitioner must either submit the \$5.00 filing fee for  
21 habeas petitions or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Due to the lack of an *in forma*  
22 *pauperis* application or filing fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing  
23 of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does  
24 not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-  
25 filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, plaintiff at all times remains responsible for  
26 calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly commencing a  
27 timely-filed habeas corpus action.  
28

1       **IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice to the  
2 filing of **a new petition in a new action.**

3       **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall send petitioner two copies  
4 of an *in forma pauperis* application form for a prisoner, one copy of the instructions for the same,  
5 two copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition form, and one copy of instructions for the  
6 same.


7       **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner may file a new petition in a new action, but  
8 he may not file any more documents in this action.

9       **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that all pending motions, including the motion for the  
10 appointment of counsel (Doc. 2), are **DENIED.**

11       **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED.** Reasonable  
12 jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be  
13 debatable or wrong.

14       The Clerk of Court is instructed to enter judgment accordingly.

15       Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2014.

16  
17  
18         
19       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28